

HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA

NOTICE OF FILING

This document was filed electronically in the High Court of Australia on 11 May 2020 and has been accepted for filing under the *High Court Rules 2004*. Details of filing and important additional information are provided below.

	Details of Filing
File Number: File Title:	C7/2020 UD v. The Queen
Registry:	Canberra
Document filed:	Form 27B - Appellant's chronology
Filing party:	Applicant
Date filed:	11 May 2020

Important Information

This Notice has been inserted as the cover page of the document which has been accepted for filing electronically. It is now taken to be part of that document for the purposes of the proceeding in the Court and contains important information for all parties to that proceeding. It must be included in the document served on each of those parties and whenever the document is reproduced for use by the Court.



C7/2020

No C7 of 2020

UD

Applicant

and

The Queen

Respondent

IN THE HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA CANBERRA REGISTRY

BETWEEN:

10

APPLICANT'S CHRONOLOGY

PART I: CERTIFICATION

1. It is certified that this chronology is in a form suitable for publication on the internet.

PART II: CHRONOLOGY

Date	Event	Reference
30 March	The Applicant was due to stand trial on	CRB1 [7]-[9]
2020	Indictment SCC 282 of 2019 dated 30 March	
	2020, with the trial listed to commence on 6	
	April 2020 before a judge and a jury of twelve.	
	The Applicant and the Director of Public	AFM1 [6]
	Prosecutions ('DPP') were sent an email from	
	the associate to the trial judge in the following	
	terms:	
	On behalf of the court I advise that the	
	trial will not be proceeding as a jury	

Filed on behalf of the Applicant by Dr. John Boersig Chief Executive Officer Legal Aid ACT 2 Allsop Street Canberra ACT 2601

	trial, but will remain listed. The parties	
	should not at this stage assume that the	
	matter will not proceed.	
1 April 2020	Counsel for the Applicant sent an email to the	AFM2 [8]
	trial judge's associate querying:	
	In circumstances where [UD] has not	
	made an election for a judge alone trial	
	and the Court is not in a position to	
	empanel a jury, can you please advise	
	how the matter would proceed on	
	Monday?	
	Later that day, the trial judge's associate	AFM3 [10]-[11]
	responded, stating:	
	The matter will only proceed on Monday	
	if legislation is introduced rendering the	
	matter a judge alone trial.	
2 April 2020	The ACT Legislative Assembly had introduced	
	to it the COVID-19 Emergency Response Bill	
	2020 (ACT). It foreshadowed the insertion into	
	the Supreme Court Act 1933 (ACT) of s 68BA.	
3 April 2020	The Applicant's matter was listed for mention	AFM4 [13]-[22]
	before the trial judge. At the mention, the	
	Applicant confirmed that he sought to be tried	
	before a judge and jury of twelve. The trial	
	judge foreshadowed the possible application of s	
	68BA, once enacted, to the Applicant's	
	proceeding. The matter was adjourned to 16	
	April 2020, on which day the parties would	
	make submissions on s 68BA and its application	
	to the Applicant's trial.	
8 April 2020	The COVID-19 Emergency Response Act 2020 (ACT) came into force and the amendment to insert s 68BA into the Supreme Court Act 1933 (ACT) came into force.	

C7/2020

9 April 2020	The Applicant and the DPP were served with a s	CRB 2 [11]
	68BA Notice that the Court proposed to have the	
	Applicant tried by judge alone.	
14 April 2020	Written submissions were filed with the	CRB 3 [13]-[33]
	Supreme Court of the Australian Capital	
	Territory by the DPP addressing the proposed	
	order. The DPP submitted that it would not be in	
	the interests of justice, were the Applicant man	
	ordered to be tried by judge alone. The	
	submissions also raised the possibility that s	
	68BA is constitutionally invalid.	
15 April 2020	Written submissions were filed with the	CRB 4 [35]-[47]
	Supreme Court of the Australian Capital	
	Territory by the Applicant. They spoke to the	
	statutory construction of s 68BA, its application	
	to the Applicant man's proceeding, and to the	
	possibility that s 68BA is <i>simpliciter</i> invalid.	
16 April 2020	The parties appeared before the trial judge. The	AFM5 [24]-[27]
	Applicant sought removal of his proceeding to	
	the Full Court, pursuant to s 13(2) of the	
	Supreme Court Act 1933 (ACT). The	
	Application was refused. The trial judge	
	determined to hear argument on the proposed	
	order – on the assumption that s 68BA was valid	
	- and to defer the question of the statute's	
	validity to a time after he had ruled upon its	
	applicability to the Applicant's proceeding.	
	Following argument, the trial judge reserved his	
	decision.	
20 April 2020	The trial judge concluded that a judge alone trial	CRB 5 [49]-[62]
	'should be ordered'. However, his Honour	
	determined that he would not (formally) make	
	an order to that effect until the question of the	
	statute's validity had been resolved.	
22 April 2020	The Applicant filed an application for removal	

C7/2020

pursuant to s 40 of the Judiciary Act 1903 (Cth).	
That application was allocated High Court of	
Australia file number C6/2020.	
The Director of Public Prosecutions of the	
Australian Capital Territory filed his Form 7 -	
Notice of Appearance in C6/2020.	
The Attorney-General of the Australian Capital	
Territory filed a Form 1A - Notice of	
Intervention in C6/2020.	
The Applicant, the Respondent and the	UD v The Queen
Intervener appeared before Gordon J.	[2020] HCATrans 59
	(29 April 2020)
Gordon J granted the application for removal	CRB 6 [64]-[67]
and made orders as to the timetable of	
documents to be filed by the parties.	
As a consequence of the cause being removed,	
the new file number $C7/2020$ was allocated to	
the matter.	
The Attorney-General of the Australian Capital	CRB 8 [77]
Territory filed a Form 1A - Notice of	
Intervention in C7/2020.	
The Attorney-General of South Australia filed a	CRB 9 [80]
Form 1A – Notice of Intervention in C7/2020.	
	That application was allocated High Court of Australia file number C6/2020. The Director of Public Prosecutions of the Australian Capital Territory filed his Form 7 – Notice of Appearance in C6/2020. The Attorney-General of the Australian Capital Territory filed a Form 1A – Notice of Intervention in C6/2020. The Applicant, the Respondent and the Intervener appeared before Gordon J. Gordon J granted the application for removal and made orders as to the timetable of documents to be filed by the parties. As a consequence of the cause being removed, the new file number C7/2020 was allocated to the matter. The Attorney-General of the Australian Capital Territory filed a Form 1A – Notice of Intervention in C7/2020.

Dated 11 May 2020

Alfarsint

Theo Kassimatis QC Telephone: 03 9225 6899 Email: *Theo.Kassimatis@vicbar.com.au*